9-30 A.M.

Overtime Allowance to Government Servants.

*Q.—129. Sri K. KENCHAPPA (Hiriyur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the names of offices in each Department of Mysore Government in which staff (with their designation) members were being paid over time, lunch and duty allowances for working more than the scheduled hours in their respective offices, prior to and after Reorganisation of States, mentioning the total amount paid to each Department since 1948 till now (information to be furnished each year) ;

(*b*) the names of offices of each Department where and to whom such allowances are stopped since 1947 with reasons therefor ?

A.—Sri T. MARIAPPA (Minister for Finance).—

(*a*) Statement given below.

(*b*) Legislature Secretariat—from June 1952. Payment of overtime allowance was considered unnecessary.

(SRI T. MARIAPPA)

Statement showing overtime, lunch and duty allowances paid in some Departments of the State.

(In Rupees)

Sl. No.	Heads of Departments	1948	1949	1950	1951	1952	1953	1954	1955	1956	1957	1958	Total
1	Director of Industries and Commerce.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Government Soap Factory.	...	...	...	20	473	237	483	683	608	611	1,375	4,490
	Government Porcelain Factory.	652	465	273	531	480	1,410	854	849	938	1,580	1,000	9,032
	Mysore Implements Factory, Hassan.	328	343	2,456	2,209	197	30	3,727	5,678	6,006	8,880	11,425	41,279
	Government Central Industrial Workshop.	62	47	77	346	634	382	209	1,409	1,840	2,511	1,534	9,051
2	Director of P. S. and P. in Mysore, Bangalore.	38,552	28,530	42,256	54,708	62,645	72,580	57,745	77,866	98,770	1,16,755	2,28,020 1,639	8,80,066
3	Director of I and C (Dasara Exhibition Committee).	400	922	400	400	510	738	812	824	848	800	1,758	7,412
4	State Port Office ...	1,080	1,341	1,807	938	1,310	1,422	1,335	1,483	1,572	1,381	4,830	18,499
5	Excise Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.	17	143	15	517	359	1,205	75	33	1	7	...	2,372

6	Mysore Government Insurance Department.	...	...	...	5,000	...	37,651	27,905	1,750	3,500	...	106	75,912
7	Legislature Secretariat, Vidhana Souda.	1,952	2,616	1,599	1,568	...	...	...	...	.	...	...	7,735
8	Inspector-General of Police in Mysore, Bangalore.	...	..	...	...	...	11,546	9,869	14,237	15,766	17,249	18,025	86,692
9	Road Transport Department, Bangalore.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	39,262	1,54,580	1,49,046	3,42,888
	Total ...	43,043	34,407	48,883	66,237	66,608	1,27,201	1,03,014	1,04,812	1,69,111	3,04,354	4,17,758	14,85,428

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆಂಚಪ್ಪ.—ನನ್ನ (ಬ) ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ವಾಗಿ ವಿಧಾನ ಮಂಡಲದ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟೇರಿಯಟ್ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಪಟ್ಟವರಿಗೆ 1948 ರಿಂದ 1951ರ ವರೆಗೂ ಈ ಓವರ್ ಟೈಂ ಅಲೋಯನ್ಸ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟು 1952ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿ ಯಿಂದ ಇದುವರೆಗೂ ಆ ಅಲೋಯನ್ಸನ್ನು ಕೊಡದೇ ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಬಡ್ಜೆಟ್ ಇಲಾಖೆ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಪಟ್ಟವರಿಗೆ ಮೊದಲಿನಿಂದಲೂ ಇದುವರೆಗೂ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾ ಇರುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಕಾರಣವೇನು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—1952ನೆಯ ಸಾಲಿಯಿಂದ ಆ ಅಲೋಯನ್ಸ್ ಕೊಡುವುದನ್ನು ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಕಾರಣ, ಅದು ಅನಾವಶ್ಯಕವೆಂದು ರಿಕಾರ್ಡ್ಸ್‌ನಿಂದ ವ್ಯಕ್ತ ಪಟ್ಟಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆಂಚಪ್ಪ.—ತಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಬೇರೆ ಕೆಲವರಿಗೆ ಈ ತರಹ ಅಲೋಯನ್ಸ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಮೇಲೆ ಇವರಿಗೂ ಆ ರೀತಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದಿಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ನಾನು ಹೇಳಿಕ್ಕೆ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವೂ ಅಲ್ಲ, ತಾವು ಹೇಳತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾ ಯವೂ ಅಲ್ಲ. ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆಂಚಪ್ಪ.—ಇದನ್ನು ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಿದುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು ! ತಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆ ಇದೆಯೋ, ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—1952ನೆಯ ಜೂನ್‌ನಿಂದ ಆ ಅಲೋಯನ್ಸನ್ನು ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು; ಅದು ಅನಾ ವಶ್ಯಕವೆಂದು ರಿಕಾರ್ಡ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿದ್ದುದರಿಂದ ಅದನ್ನು ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಅದು ನನ್ನ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆಂಚಪ್ಪ.—ತಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರು ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಕಾಲ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ಮನಗಂಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ ಮತ್ತು ಆ ರೀತಿ ಮನಗಂಡಿದ್ದರೆ ಅವರು ಓವರ್ ಟೈಂ ಅಲೋಯನ್ಸ್ ಕೇಳುವುದು ನ್ಯಾಯವಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ನನ್ನ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಈಗ ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಒಂದು ಸಮಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಏರ್ಪಾಡು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಯನ್ನೂ ಸಹ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಅವರಿಗೆ ರೆಫರ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—This allowance was stopped in June 1952. Is there a recommendation from the Speaker that the allowance should be given since that date?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—From the records available, it is found that the allowance was stopped since 1952 since it was considered unnecessary.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Overtime allowance was a benefit that was being given to the Legislature Secretariat. Was the allowance stopped despite the recommendation of the Speaker that it should be continued? Is there a recommendation of the Speaker that it should be given?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—I want notice.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—May I know why the Government considered the allowance unnecessary in 1952?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—From the records I find that it was considered unnecessary. Most of the Secretar iat officials also work overtime and in this case, if one department is given allowance, it is likely that other departments may also claim. It was therefore considered unnecessary and it was stopped.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—If it was unnecessary in the case of the Legislature Secretariat, why was it considered necessary in respect of the other departments mentioned in the list?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—The question of over-time allowance and other allowances is being considered. We have referred this matter to a small Committee. I think it is much better that the matter is left at that.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Whether over-time allowance is necessary or not is a matter that should be dependant on the Speaker's recommendation. Has the Speaker made such a recommendation?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—That is the Hon'ble Member's opinion.

Mr. SPEAKER.—On this matter the question asked by Hon'ble Members may be embarrassing to me and also the Treasury Benches. All that I can say is that the question of over-time allowances to the Legislature staff is under correspondence with the Government.

Sri B. K. PUTTARAMAIAH.—Is the Government aware that the staff of the Legislature Secretariat are distributing question and other literature late in the night at the residence of the Members and that they have to work long after office hours?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—All these factors will be taken into consideration in fixing the overtime allowance.

Sri Y. VEERAPPA.—The Minister has stated that overtime was considered unnecessary for the Legislature staff. Is it not a fact that Government have allowed overtime allowance to some of the officials attached to the Ministers?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—No ; no,

Sri K. KENCHAPPA.—The Minister's answer is that it was considered unnecessary during some years...

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—It is not my conclusion. It was the conclusion of the Government of that day.

Sri K. KENCHAPPA.—If it was considered unnecessary in 1952, why was it considered necessary at the time it was sanctioned? Does not the same condition exist since 1952?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—The Government has to come to a decision on the basis of facts available in a particular situation.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—May I know whether the Committee to which the matter has been referred has submitted any proposals?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—The Committee is still considering the question.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—Will the overtime allowance be sanctioned before we meet for the budget session?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—We will positively take a decision as early as possible.

ಶ್ರೀ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ ಉಳ್ಳಾಳ್.—1948ರಿಂದ 1957ರ ವರೆಗೆ ಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಆಫೀಸರು ಇಲಾಖೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾವಿರದ ಚಿಲ್ಲರೆ ಈ ಬಾಬಿಗೆ ಖರ್ಚಾಗಿ 1958ರಲ್ಲಿ 4,389 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳು ಜಾಸ್ತಿ ಖರ್ಚಾಗಲು ಕಾರಣ ವೇನು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಲೇಬರರ್ಸನ್ನು ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಅದು ಇಂಡಸ್ಟ್ರಿಯಲ್ ಕಲಸರ್ಸ್ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟೇರಿಯಟ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಡಮೆ ಜನರನ್ನು ನೇಮಿಸಿದರೆ ಕಡಮೆ ಖರ್ಚಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಕಾಲ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಜಾಸ್ತಿ ಖರ್ಚಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—The Minister said that the matter was considered from the point of view of the repurcussions it would have on other Departments. Is it not a fact that there is a constitutional provision that so far as the Legislature Secretariat is concerned, it is for the Governor to fix the pay and allowances in consultation with the Speaker and if the Speaker has made a recommendation and the Governor accepts it, there is no question of any possible repurcussions on other Departments?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—We thought it wise to consider the whole question in all its aspects. I can only assure that positively we will do something in this behalf.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question-time is over.

QUESTIONS FOR ANSWERS ON THE DAY

(but not taken up)

Works executed by Mamballi Municipality.

*Q.—88. Srimathi KEMPAMMA (Kollegal).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the particulars of works executed by the Mamballi Municipality in Yelandur Taluk for the past three years;

(*b*) the income and expenditure of the above municipality for the years 1956-57, 1957-58 and 1958-59;

(*c*) the amount of grant received by the above municipality from Government during the above period;

(*d*) the balance amount which is yet to be spent by that municipality?

A.—Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Self-Government).—

(*a*) Statement giving the details is given below:—

(*b*)

Year	Income	Expenditure
	Rs.	Rs.
1956-57	32,246·00	21,606·66
1957-58	17,255·07	16,945·08
1958-59	16,409·00	11,733·35

(*c*)

Year	Amount of grants received.
	Rs.
1956-57 ...	2,000
1957-58 ...	3,500
1958-59 ...	4,000

(*d*) Rs. 5,907·35 (as on 1st November 1959.